

Contact Information

\* Contact Information

**Name**

**Email Address**

**Phone Number**

Companies A-E

**Please select the company(ies) A through E that you are eligible to respond on behalf of, for this poll. Companies beginning with an F through Z are available on the next two pages.**

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Company (A-E)

Companies F-O

**Please select the company(s) F through O that you are eligible to respond on behalf of, for this poll. Companies beginning with a P through Z are available on the next page.**

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Company (F-O)

Companies P-Z

**Please select the company(s) P through Z that you are eligible to respond on behalf of, for this poll.**

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)

Company (P-Z)







Workload

\* 7. Do you think the current number of PJM stakeholder-related meetings needed to accomplish PJM's workload is ...

- Too few
- Too many
- Just about right

\* 8. The PJM stakeholder process takes on more issues in a year than it can process and resolve

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 9. PJM and its Members need to do a better job prioritizing the issues they do undertake each year

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 10. PJM and its Members should set firm timetables for resolving each issue they undertake

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 11. Standing Committees need to better manage the scope and timing of the Subcommittees and Task Forces that serve them

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

12. Please provide up to three specific suggestions that would most improve the PJM Stakeholder process and meetings.

13. If you have any additional comments related to the questions above about the PJM stakeholder process structure and meetings, please provide here:

CBIR

\* 14. The stakeholder process would benefit from greater direct participation by senior managers and executives of member organizations

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 15. Even when members can't reach agreement on a solution, it is still beneficial for issues to be fully vetted through the PJM stakeholder process.

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 16. Committees and Task Forces should develop proposals that have a reasonable chance of acceptance at the committees above them.

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

\* 17. Where consensus on a single proposal is not possible, Committees and Task Forces should elevate multiple proposals to the Senior Standing Committees to which they report.

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

18. Please provide up to three specific suggestions that would most improve the PJM decision-making process.

19. If you have any additional comments related to the questions about about the CBIR process, please provide here.

States

\* 20. PJM and its Members should more actively seek state regulator's views on issues so that PJM and its Members can address them during their deliberations.

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

21. Please provide up to three specific suggestions that would most improve state regulators interface with the members.

PJM Staff

\* 22. Overall, how satisfied are you with how the PJM staff provides technical expertise and analysis to support the stakeholder process?

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

\* 23. Overall, how satisfied are you with how the PJM staff chairs/facilitates Committee and Task Force meetings?

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

\* 24. PJM staff and management's role within the stakeholder process should be to advocate for technically-sound reliability solutions.

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

\* 25. PJM staff and management's role within the stakeholder process should be to advocate for competitive and robust market solutions.

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

\* 26. PJM staff and management's role within the stakeholder process should be to broker agreements among its members.

Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree

\* 27. If PJM staff and management have a strong opinion about how an issue should be substantively resolved, should they...

- Keep it to themselves
- State it clearly and continue to chair/facilitate
- State it clearly but assign two PJM staff (one to represent PJM, another to chair/facilitate)
- State it clearly but bring in a third party to chair/facilitate

The Board

\* 28. When Stakeholders do not reach agreement on significant matters (exceed 2/3 weighted vote threshold at the Members Committee), the PJM Board currently receives sufficiently clear and detailed information on the perspectives of members.

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

29. Please provide up to three specific suggestions that would most improve PJM staff, Management, and Board interface with its Members.

30. If you have any additional comments about the questions above related to PJM staff, Management, and Board interface with the Members, please provide here:



Summary Question

31. Would you like to add any other suggestions for improving PJM's governance and stakeholder process?

Closing Instructions

**If you wish to respond differently for additional companies, please access the same survey link using a different IP address. For instance, if you first completed this poll using your laptop, please use your phone, iPad, or desktop to complete it again.**

**Note: you are able to edit your responses until the poll closes using the same access link.**